

# RIVERDALE MUNICIPALITY

## PUBLIC HEARING

**DATE:** October 25<sup>th</sup>, 2016

**TIME:** 7:00 pm

**LOCATION:** Royal Canadian Legion Hall, Riverdale Municipality, Rivers MB

A Public Hearing was held to present proposal for

**Bylaw No. 2016-12 to regulate development and land use within Riverdale Municipality.**

Mayor Gill called the Public Hearing at 7:00pm with the following members of Council in attendance:

Councillor Dave Falkevitch  
Councillor Darren Bray  
Councillor Larry Fast  
Councillor Bryan Smith

Regrets Councillor Ken Tait  
Councillor Ian Dyer

Peter Andersen, Senior Planner, Indigenous and Municipal Relations

Chief Administrative Officer Kat Bridgeman recorded the minutes.

The following ratepayers were in attendance:

1. Cam White
2. Jill White
3. Sheila Smith
4. Ted Smith
5. Rachel Gillingham
6. Donna Smith
7. Ken Young
8. Gloria Carrard
9. Francois Carrard
10. Don Krueger
11. Dee Wood
12. Ryan Whelpton
13. Cheri Whelpton
14. Lily Kilmury
15. Rod Pearson
16. Brad Steinberger
17. Ruth Pryzner
18. Joe Dolecki
19. Lawrence Murray
20. Aeleen Sclater

***Mayor Gill introduced the panel and covered the rules and procedures for the public hearing.***

Mayor Gill made opening remarks on the purpose of the bylaw and the process/background to put one in place

Peter Andersen, Senior Planner of Indigenous and Municipal Relations was introduced.

Mr. Andersen provided a quick summary of the different zones together with a brief description.

The floor was opened to hear representation on the bylaw.

The following questions were raised by those in attendance:

R. Pearson – Questioned what the impact would be in his existing farm operation.

*Response: Existing cattle operation remains as such provided the operation does not cease for a period of more than 1 year. The operation can be transferred to a new owner provided the operation does not change. Any changes would be subject to the new zoning bylaw.*

R. Pryzner made the following objections. (A formal letter of objection was submitted)

- 1) No consultation with Indigenous people respecting Treaty and Indigenous rights.
- 2) Bylaw does not respect the community's first objective to protect water.
- 3) Not a lot of consideration to sand aquifers which are the primary water sources for rural areas.
- 4) Lack of vision and insight regarding groundwater sensitive areas, future planning.
- 5) Livestock operations should not exist around Lake Wahtopanah
- 6) Resubmitting livestock operation plan of 2010 which limits livestock to 300 units and takes groundwater sensitivity into account.
- 7) Farm buildings are considered accessory use and should be recognized as more.
- 8) Additional dwellings should be allowed regardless of whether they are part of the farm operations or not.
- 9) Projections into yards should not be limited as they are in urban residential.
- 10) No recognition of Deerboine Colony.
- 11) The rationale behind accessory shipping containers on farms.
- 12) Non-CSA mobile homes not permitted in rural area.
- 13) Permits for non-sensical things like grain bins,
- 14) Any new pipelines or any changes to existing natural gas lines.

J. Dolecki made the following objections. (A formal letter of objection was submitted)

- 1) Not enough protection for shorelines. SR1 & SR2 zoning should be prohibited.
- 2) No carry capacity study has been done as promised.
- 3) No requirement for absence of territorial use/Indigenous Rights.
- 4) Minimum buffer zone around the lake. Bylaw does not address basic requirements.
- 5) Object to 3.4.4
- 6) Object to 3.4.3 – Council should not have discretion

- 7) Pipeline 3.5.5 allows for additional or proposed pipeline routes.
- 8) All references to 100 or 200 year flooding should be 300yr.
- 9) Requirements for development & building permits is just a money grab.

Mr. Anderson responded by saying he had made notes of their concerns and would review their formal objections. He also clarified the difference between a development permit and a building permit and why they are both needed.

Mayor Gill commented that the public expects the municipality to be accountable. Bylaws provide protection for the municipality and its residents and show the municipality is doing its due diligence.

Peter Andersen gave his closing remarks.

2 written objections were filed with the Chief Administrative Officer during the Public Hearing.

The Public Hearing was adjourned at 8:00pm.